

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Replacement drawing Figures 1 and 2 are enclosed, both including the legend Prior Art.


Claim 3 has been combined into claim 1, making claim 1 into claim 3 in independent form. The objections to words in claim 3 are noted. Those changes have been made in amended claim 1. Further, at what had been former line 12 of claim 3, the word "with" has been inserted after "formed" for better English. No other changes have been made in the text of claim 3. It is submitted that claim 1 in independent form is original claim 3, without narrowing the scope of original claim 3.

Claims 1 and 2 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C § 102. With the amendment of claim 1 to include therein the entirety of claim 3, and with the indication that claim 3 is allowable, the rejection of claims 1 and 2 is rendered moot. Instead, claims 1 and 2 remaining in the application should be allowable and their allowance is requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on July 9, 2004:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Faber

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name of applicant, assignee or  
Registered Representative

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
July 9, 2004

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert C. Faber

\_\_\_\_\_  
Registration No.: 24,322  
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-8403  
Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF:mjb